



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,395	07/02/2003	Taylor N. Van Vleet	ZNET.093A	3210	
20995	7590 12/	6/2005	EXAMINER		
	MARTENS OLS	BURGESS, E	BURGESS, BARBARA N		
2040 MAIN : FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614		2157		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)					
	10/612,395		VLEET ET AL.						
Office Action S	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Barbara N. Bu	rgess	2157					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If NO period for reply is specified ab Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING D under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period nded period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, h will apply and will expe, cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be timing SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
<ul> <li>1)⊠ Responsive to common</li> <li>2a)□ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3)□ Since this application closed in accordance</li> </ul>	2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non- ance except for	formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
4)	n(s) is/are withdra allowed. rejected. objected to.	awn from consic							
Application Papers									
	n is/are: a)□ accest that any objection to the heet(s) including the correct	cepted or b)	eld in abeyance.  See f the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)	2 200		□ latarii S	(PTO 412)					
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail De Notice of Informal F Other:	ate	<sup>-</sup> O-152)				

Art Unit: 2157

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to Amendments filed August 25, 2005. The rejections of this application have been withdrawn due to a restriction requirement (the examiner should have raised the issue of restriction and the examiner takes this opportunity to correct her position by raising the issue of restriction). Claims 1-48 are presented for Election/Restriction.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.
  - I. Claims 1-13, and 46-47, drawn to a web site system having an event history server that stores event data and implements a query interface through which event data can be retrieved, classified in class 709, subclasses 217, 219.
  - II. Claims 14-23, drawn to an event history server having plurality of storage layer servers that store data in index form, a plurality of cache layer servers that server as intermediaries, classified in class 709, subclass 216.
  - III. Claims 24-45, and 48, drawn to a method and system of conducting and processing Internet searches, classified in class 709, subclass 203.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from one another because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable

Art Unit: 2157

together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct form each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from one another because of the following reasons: Inventions I (in combination with II) and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Invention I, II is not required for Invention III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2157

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N. Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess

Dalenens 12/11/05

Examiner Art Unit 2157

December 6, 2005